

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,395	09/18/2003	Torrey M. Bievenour	VQL-P-P6	4441	
44702 7	590 08/30/2006	EXAMINER			
OSTRAGER CHONG FLAHERTY & BROITMAN PC			LAVARIAS, ARNEL C		
NEW YORK,	'ENUE, SUITE 825 NY 10177		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2872	-	
			DATE MAILED: 08/30/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	tion No	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary					A 1				
		10/664,3		BIEVENOUR ET AL.					
	omce Action Gammary	Examine		Art Unit					
	The MAN INC DATE of the comments		Lavarias	2872	ddaaa				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ation appears on ti	10 cover sneet with th	e correspondence a	aaress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAINS ions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ication. ory period will apply and I, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATION TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	ON. timely filed mom the mailing date of this one NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 12 July 2006							
2a)⊠									
3)									
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims	·							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8,10-24,26-28,30-39 and 41</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8,10,11,13-24,26-28,30-39 and 41</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) 12 is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election	requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the f	Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepted or t	o) objected to by th	e Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	ne correction is requ	ired if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. N	Note the attached Offi	ice Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	r foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority do								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of			eived in this Nationa	ıl Stage				
	application from the Internationa	•							
* (See the attached detailed Office action to	for a list of the cei	tified copies not rece	ived.					
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413)					
2) D Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC		Paper No(s)/Mai		CO-152\				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date	O/SB/08)	6) Other:	а гасы Аррисацоп (РТ	O-192)				

Application/Control Number: 10/664,395 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The amendments to Claims 1, 23, 26-27, 33-34, 41 in the submission dated 7/12/06 are acknowledged and accepted.
- 2. The cancellation of Claims 9, 29, 40 in the submission dated 7/12/06 is acknowledged and accepted.

Response to Arguments

- The Applicants' arguments, see in particular Page 9 of the response, filed 7/12/06, with respect to the rejections of Claims 1-2, 4-6, 8, 11-12, 14-18, 23-24, 26-28, 31, 40-41, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of Claims 1-2, 4-6, 8, 11-12, 14-18, 23-24, 26-28, 31, 40-41 in Sections 11-17 of the Office Action dated 4/12/06 have been withdrawn.
- 4. It is additionally noted that Applicants did not provide any argument with respect to the rejection of Claim 12 under 35 U.S.C. 112 1st paragraph in Section 9 of the Office Action dated 4/12/06.
- 5. Claim 12 is now rejected as follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it

Application/Control Number: 10/664,395 Page 3

Art Unit: 2872

pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 12 recites the limitation that the optical activity of the optical active device (which comprises an optically active liquid of randomly oriented and positioned molecules) is electrically controlled. However, other than liquid crystal materials, the Examiner is unaware of any other liquid materials, and particularly any other liquid materials of randomly oriented and positioned molecules, that exhibit an electrically controlled optical activity. In addition, Applicants have not disclosed any such exemplary materials. Page 9 of Applicants' disclosure specifically discloses that an alternative to the optically active liquid substance is a crystal (i.e. a solid) whose optical activity is dependent on an applied electric field (See Page 9, lines 1-6 of Applicants' disclosure).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 1-2, 4-8, 10-11, 13-24, 26-28, 30-39, 41 are allowed.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 Claim 1 is allowable over the cited art of record for at least the reason that the cited art

 of record fails to teach or reasonably suggest an optically active color filter, as generally

Art Unit: 2872

set forth in Claim 1, the filter including, in combination with the features recited in Claim 1, an adjustable thickness container for holding the optically active liquid, wherein the thickness of the optically active device is changed by adjusting the container. Claims 2, 4-6, 8, 10-11, 13-18, 33-34 are dependent on Claim 1, and hence are allowable for at least the same reasons Claim 1 is allowable.

Claim 7 is allowable over the cited art of record for at least the reason that the cited art of record fails to teach or reasonably suggest an optically active color filter, as generally set forth in Claim 7, the filter including, in combination with the features recited in Claim 7, the circular-shaped rotatable polarizer being circular shaped and having a cutout, wherein the first rotatable polarizer is disposed offset from a path of the rotated polarized light from the optically active device, and further wherein the radius of the first rotatable polarizer extends beyond the light path.

Claim 19 is allowable over the cited art of record for at least the reason that the cited art of record fails to teach or reasonably suggest an optically active color filter, as generally set forth in Claim 19, the filter including, in combination with the features recited in Claim 19, a linear polarizing beamsplitter for polarizing and splitting light from a light source into a first polarized light and a second polarized light; an optically active means for rotating the first and second polarized light from the beamsplitter; a first adjustable polarizer for selecting a desired first color from the rotated first polarized light from the optically active means; and a second adjustable polarizer for selecting a desired second color from the rotated second polarized light from the optically active means.

Art Unit: 2872

Claims 20-22, 35-39 are dependent on Claim 19, and hence are allowable for at least the same reasons that Claim 19 is allowable.

Claim 23 is allowable over the cited art of record for at least the reason that the cited art of record fails to teach or reasonably suggest a lighting effects device, as generally set forth in Claim 23, the device including, in combination with the features recited in Claim 23, the optically active device further comprising a multiplicity of removable layers of optically active liquid. Claim 24 is dependent on Claim 23, and hence is allowable for at least the same reasons Claim 23 is allowable.

Claim 26 is allowable over the cited art of record for at least the reason that the cited art of record fails to teach or reasonably suggest an optically active color filter, as generally set forth in Claim 26, the filter including, in combination with the features in Claim 26, the thickness of the optically active liquid not being uniform such that all polarized light from the light source travels the same distance through the optically active liquid.

Claim 27 is allowable over the cited art of record for at least the reason that the cited art of record fails to teach or reasonably suggest a method for producing colored light, as generally set forth in Claim 27, the method including, in combination with the features recited in Claim 27, the step of adjusting the thickness of the optically active liquid.

Claims 28, 30-31 are dependent on Claim 27, and hence are allowable for at least the same reasons Claim 27 is allowable.

Claim 32 is allowable over the cited art of record for at least the reason that the cited art of record fails to teach an optically active color filter, as generally set forth in Claim

Application/Control Number: 10/664,395

Art Unit: 2872

32, the color filter including, in combination with the features recited in Claim 32, the color from the first rotatable polarizer having only one peak wavelength in the visible light spectrum and further, wherein the peak wavelength stays in the visible light spectrum for at least 90 degrees of rotation of the first rotatable polarizer.

Claim 41 is allowable over the cited art of record for at least the reason that the cited art of record fails to teach or reasonably suggest an apparatus for projecting colored images, as generally set forth in Claim 41, the apparatus including, in combination with the features recited in Claim 41, an adjustable thickness container for holding the optically active liquid, wherein the thickness of the optically active device is changed by adjusting the container.

Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arnel C. Lavarias whose telephone number is 571-272-2315. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 AM - 6 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on 571-272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arnel C. Lavarias Primary Examiner Group Art Unit 287

Group Art Unit 2872

8/23/06